## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CROMPTON CORPORATION BENSON ROAD MIDDLEBURY CT 06749

## **COPY MAILED**

MAY 0 3 2005

## OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Denis Ghesquiere :

Application No. 10/667,190 : DECISION ON PETITION

Filed: 17 September, 2000 : Atty Docket No. 0201-PC :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 7 April, 2005, under 37 CFR  $1.137(b)^{1}$ , to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application became abandoned on 18 February, 2004, for failure to timely file a response to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application mailed on 17 December, 2003, which set a two (2) month shortened period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 12

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

<sup>(2)</sup> the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

<sup>(3)</sup> a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

<sup>(4)</sup> any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

November, 2004. The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) filed on 13 December, 2004, was dismissed on 4 February, 2005.

This application is being referred to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions